

D03024r0

Repeal of Time Limited Read Write Feature Set Inclusion letter ballot results

Dan Colegrove

Hitachi GST

Daniel.colegrove@hgst.com

Vote Count 8:yes 5:no 3: abstain 1:ballot not returned.

Responses:

IBM: No, No Comments

LSI: No,

Reason for No vote:

- 1) I believe that the Time Limited Feature set is easier to implement and support than the alternate A/V command set.
- 2) I believe it is important to document shipping features.

QLogic: Abstain, No Comments

Intel: No, No Comments

Pacific Digital: No, No Comments

Seagate: No, No Comments

Vixel: Abstain, No Comments

Microsoft: Abstain,

1) The motivation and repercussions for two competing AV feature definitions in ATA-7 doesn't affect us and so we cannot justify voting for or against the removal of one of them.

Apple: Yes,

1) Comments: The Time Limited Feature Set as written is unsustainable. Requiring numerous changes to the ATA/ATAPI-7 document, including re-definitions of bits and even the re-definitions of terms such as "obsolete" that are not acceptable. Due to the far-reaching implications of such drastic changes, we regret that we cannot support this feature. Had the proposal been better designed and drafted in such a manner that it was not in conflict with the existing standard, it would have been acceptable for inclusion.

Fujitsu: Yes, No Comments

Western Digital: Yes, No Comments

Maxtor: Yes, No Comments

Toshiba: Yes, No Comments

Phoenix Technologies: Yes, No Comments

ST Microelectronics: Yes, No Comments.

Hitachi GST: Yes, No Comments

Absolute Software: (No ballot returned)